Short communication

How are wetlands and biological interactions related to carnivore distributions at high altitude?

G.A.E. Cuyckens [a, b, *], P.G. Perovic [c], L. Cristobal [d]

[a] Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina
[b] Centro de Estudios Territoriales, Ambientales y Sociales (CETAS), Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Jujuy, Argentina
[c] Administración de Parques Nacionales, Delegación Noroeste, Argentina
[d] Fundación ProYungas, Argentina

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Determining the geographic range of species is a main objective in ecology and has implications for conservation. Key determinants of carnivore distribution in dry environments are competition and the availability of water. Here, we gathered and mapped the available information on carnivore habitat quality in the high Andes and Puna in the extreme north of Argentina. We investigated four carnivore species: the Andean cat (Leopardus jacobita), the Pampas cat (Leopardus colocolo), the cougar (Puma concolor) and the culpeo fox (Lycalopex culpaeus). We assessed the main determinants of their distribution, testing explicitly for the effects of seasonal and temporal wetlands and biological interactions. We used species distribution models, and created biophysical models using environmental and landscape variables. Then, by including the four species' biophysical models into the model of the focal species, we tested for the importance of biological interactions. Wetlands were most important for the culpeo fox, most likely because it uses aquatic birds as prey. The cougar was the least restricted species in this arid environment, perhaps due to its large home range. In general, environmental variables, distances to wetlands and the annual range of temperature defined species' distributions better than did biological interactions. Only the distribution of the Andean cat, a specialized species, was influenced by biological interactions with the Pampas cat.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Describing distribution patterns and explaining the mechanisms that control them are among the most important issues in ecology and biogeography, and have implications for conservation biology (Myers and Giller, 1988). Abiotic factors mainly determine distributions at continental and subcontinental scales, whereas biotic factors act at local or regional scales to cause changes in distributions (Hoffmann and Blows, 1994).

In mountainous regions, temperature largely varies with topography, even between very close localities. Studies on species distributions in mountainous regions must therefore consider climate and key landscape elements. In arid regions, water sources and their availability are crucial in determining carnivore distribution. Lions, for example, base their territories around water holes (Valeix et al., 2009). Intraguild competition plays a role in defining

distributions; when resources are scarce, carnivores compete intensely (Creel, 2001).

In this study, we focus on four carnivore species: the Andean cat (Leopardus jacobita), which is endemic to this biogeographical region, the Pampas cat (Leopardus colocolo), the culpeo fox (Lycalopex culpaeus) and the cougar (Puma concolor), which are all more generally distributed carnivores. Climate factors and their role in determining these distributions are poorly understood, despite their practical interest and their theoretical importance in the creation of borders of distribution. Only a few studies have also accounted for competition, and these studies focused on the Andean and Pampas cat (Lucherini and Luengos Vidal, 2003), although the cougar may also be of special interest as it could predate on meso-carnivores (Donadio and Buskirk, 2006).

Species distribution modeling (SDM) is an important tool used to determine the distributions of species. SDM is especially useful when determining the distributions of species with low abundance, such as most carnivores, or species in areas that are difficult to access, such as the high Andes. SDM is also used to assess the

* Corresponding author. Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Alberdi 47, 4600 San Salvador de Jujuy, Argentina.
E-mail address: grietcuyckens@yahoo.com (G.A.E. Cuyckens).

factors that determine the fundamental niche of a species (Anderson et al., 2002a) or indicate the geographic potential for competition (Anderson et al., 2002b). Distribution of the Andean cat was modeled on a regional scale (Marino et al., 2011), but these authors suggest that future studies should include landscape variables at finer spatial scales.

In this study, we gather the available information on carnivore presence and map habitat quality in the high Andes and Puna of Northwestern Argentina. We identify the main drivers of the distributions, in particular testing for the influence of permanent and seasonal wetlands. We assess how interactions between these species may affect their distributions.

Because of the extremely dry climate, we expect that water availability will be a main driver in carnivore distribution, as observed in other arid regions (Valeix et al., 2009). In this environment of scarce resources, we expect competition between the culpeo and cougar (Pacheco et al., 2004) and between the Andean cat and Pampas cat (Lucherini and Luengos Vidal, 2003). Therefore, we expect that reduced habitat suitability for one species will increase the habitat suitability values for the other species.

The high Andes and Puna in the extreme northwest of Argentina are the highest parts of the country. The high Andes range up to 4200 msnm, whereas Puna is lower in altitude (3200—3700 msnm). The climate is severe, cold and dry with intense solar radiation and large daily and seasonal thermal variations. Mean annual temperatures vary from less than 0—4 °C in the high Andes and from 5 to 7 °C in Puna, with periods of night frost from March to October. Rainfall is scarce and largely seasonal (Bianchi and Yáñez, 1992), varying from less than 100—800 mm annually. Scattered small lagoons and bogs are the only water source available for wildlife. Our study area encompasses approximately 33,000 km^2 in the Jujuy province of Argentina (Fig. 1).

We used the presence records of the four species in our study area from 18 years (1990—2008) of field work of one of the authors (P.G.P), some of which were previously published (Perovic et al., 2003). We georeferenced all points with a GPS or *a posteriori* based on Google Earth$^©$. A total of 39 presence records of the Andean cat, 67 of Pampas cat, 90 of the culpeo fox and 67 of the cougar were used for modeling.

We used the software MaxEnt for species distribution modeling. MaxEnt uses the maximum entropy principle that, when estimating an unknown probability distribution, considers the least biased solution to be the one that maximizes its entropy, subject to some constraints (Phillips et al., 2006).

To determine the ecological requirements of the four carnivores, we developed "biophysical models" using the 19 bioclimatic variables and three topographic variables: elevation, from which we derived slope and aspect (Worldclim database; Hijmans et al., 2005). We also included layers depicting the annual aridity index and the monthly and annual potential evapo-transpiration (www.csi.cgiar.org). To represent vegetation, we included mean annual Net Primary Production (NPP; http://glcf.umd.edu). We incorporated soil data (http://soilgrids.org/) and land cover types (globcover, http://ionia1.esrin.esa.int/). We developed layers of the Euclidian distances to permanent and seasonal wetlands. Wetlands were rasterized from the chards of the Argentinean National Geographic Institute (IGN; http://www.ign.gob.ar), where they are classified as permanent (wetlands that never completely dry) or seasonal (which completely dry periodically), and were updated by examining a series of 25 LandSat TM satellite images (from 2000 to 2001). All variables were obtained or created at a 30 arc-seconds resolution. We tested for correlations between the predictor variables using the Pearson coefficient (values of r < 0.7) and performed 100 replicates of the MaxEnt model, randomly selecting 75% of the presence points for training and leaving 25% for validation. For each pair of correlated variables, the one showing the lower contribution was deleted. We ran 100 replicates of the model again with the reduced set of variables. The Area Under the Curve (AUC) of the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) plot evaluated model performance.

For each species, we then developed an "interaction model" using as predictor variables the proper biophysical model as a surrogate for the biophysical variables, plus the biophysical models of the other three species. We performed 100 replicates. We used these "interaction models" to project the distributions on the study area, applying the fixed cumulative value 1 logistic threshold, which provided projections coinciding with known distributions of species. We divided the range of probabilities into absence (lower


Fig. 1. Location of the study area in the high Andes of Jujuy province, Northwestern Argentina. Wetlands and salt pans are in black.

than threshold), low (threshold to 0.25), medium, (0.25–0.5), high (0.5–0.75) and maximum (>0.75).

Biophysical models performed well, with all species showing high AUC values (0.92 ± 0.02 for the cougar; 0.96 ± 0.01 for the culpeo fox; 0.95 ± 0.01 for the Pampas cat; 0.96 ± 0.01 for the Andean cat). Only a few of the environmental variables of the original 39 were imported to generate robust models. For the Andean cat, these variables were temperature annual range (29%), distance to seasonal wetlands (17%), distance to permanent wetlands (12%), minimum temperature of coldest month (7%), mean temperature of coldest quarter (7%), precipitation of driest month (15%) and precipitation of driest quarter (13%). For the Pampas cat, these variables were distance to seasonal wetlands (29%), temperature annual range (17%), mean temperature of driest quarter (10%), mean temperature of coldest quarter (10%), distance to permanent wetlands (7%), minimum temperature of coldest month (6%), and precipitation of driest month (5%). For the culpeo fox, these variables were distance to seasonal wetlands (40%), distance to permanent wetlands (26%), temperature annual range (18%), minimum temperature of coldest month (13%), and mean temperature of driest quarter (2%). For the cougar, these variables were temperature annual range (56%), minimum temperature of coldest month (20%), distance to permanent wetlands (12%), distance to seasonal wetlands (6%), and annual precipitation (6%).

The interaction models also showed high AUC values (0.98 ± 0.01 for the Andean cat; 0.95 ± 0.01 for the Pampas cat; 0.98 ± 0.01 for the culpeo fox; 0.91 ± 0.01 for the cougar). For each species, the most important contribution to the interaction model was the biophysical model of the species itself and the influence of other species' models was extremely low (<6%). Only the Pampas cat model had a high (33%) contribution to the Andean cat (Fig. 2). Habitat suitability increases for both species at low and high values, and the effect of the Pampas cat on the Andean cat was slightly negative at intermediate values. In general, almost the entire study area was potential habitat for the four species, with absences in the southwest of the study area for all four species and in the center for all species except the cougar. These absences are most likely explained by salinity in the first case and extreme aridity in the latter (Fig. 3).

Both seasonal and permanent wetlands were selected for modeling, which highlights not only the importance of the presence of water, but also its availability. Wetlands were most important for the culpeo fox, most likely because this species predates upon aquatic bird species, unlike the other carnivores species we examined (Walker et al., 2007). Seasonal wetlands were

relatively more important than permanent ones for each of the three meso-carnivores. For the cougar, permanent wetlands were more important, most likely because the larger home range of the cougar enables it to make use of water at larger spatial scales, which makes the cougar less restricted in harsh environments. So, although permanent wetlands are important for meso-carnivores in harsh environments, seasonal wetlands should also be protected.

In comparison to the Andean cat, the Pampas cat inhabits lower elevations (Perovic et al., 2003). However, we found no altitudinal segregation between these two species in our study area. Precipitation during the coldest quarter negatively influenced the Pampas cat, but was not important for the Andean cat's distribution. In high altitudes, this variable could be related to snow, which naturally reduces the distributions of other small high altitude cat species (Dunstone et al., 2002). This underscores the idea that the Andean cat is adapted to cold, high altitude or arid environments, which gives it a competitive edge over the Pampas cat (Marino et al., 2011), and suggests it is more stress tolerant.

The relationships between habitat suitability were not as we expected; we did not find evidence that a decreasing response of habitat suitability for one species corresponded with increasing values of suitability for the other species. Despite the almost complete overlap of species distributions, we have no evidence of intraguild competition. Distances to wetlands and the annual range of temperature contributed most to the distribution of the four species. So, in arid environments, landscape configuration and climate variables may define species' distributions better than biological interactions.

In addition to the seasonality of wetlands, their water depth and salinity may be important. For example, Vilama is hypersaline whereas Pololus is brackish (Perovic and Caziani unpublished data), which were important factors for waterbird distribution (Boyle et al., 2004). Density of meteorological stations is particularly low in the high mountains of northwest Argentina (Hijmans et al., 2005) so the results of this study are limited, and a higher density of climate stations could increase our knowledge about this area.

Based on our knowledge of the study area, we consider our distribution maps to be accurate. The results of this study can inform the design of conservation strategies, particularly for the Andean cat, an endangered species (IUCN, 2013). The Andean cat was influenced by the Pampas cat (a possible competitor) only at intermediate values of habitat suitability. This relationship was slightly negative (in highly suitable and highly unsuitable habitats, they were directly related). The Andean cat specializes on vizcachas (*Lagidium viscacia*). This species is also predated upon by the Pampas cat, suggesting resource competition. The Pampas cat can possibly avoid the negative effects of competition with the Andean cat by consuming other prey, whereas the Andean cat cannot, due to its specialized diet. This suggests that the Andean cat is a stronger competitor in exploiting the vizcachas as a resource. Restricted species that have specialized diets are often more efficient resource exploiters than are generalized widespread species. They maintain smaller local populations because they use rarer resources (Walker et al., 2007), which explains the local lower abundance of the Andean cat (Lucherini and Luengos Vidal, 2003; Walker et al., 2007), also confirmed by our records (67 Pampas and 39 Andean cat records).

The Puna, high Andes and most likely other arid environments are predicted to change profoundly not only in average precipitation, but also in variability of precipitation. Between- and within-year rainfall are both expected to become more variable (Chesson et al., 2004). Our ability to predict the responses of arid and semiarid ecosystems to these changes depends on being able to interpret the short-term responses of individuals and populations to precipitation. We presented important information on species

Fig. 2. Percent contribution of biophysical niche models to the interactions niche models of the four carnivore mammal species in the high Andes of Northwestern Argentina.

Fig. 3. Suitability maps for the interaction models of a) Andean cat (*Leopardus jacobita*), b) Pampas cat (*L. colocolo*), c) cougar (*Puma concolor*), and d) culpeo fox (*Lycalopex culpaeus*), and distribution of their occurrence localities.

distribution in the high Andes and Puna, two remote areas that are difficult to access due to high elevation and a lack of roads. Our dataset comprised 18 years, and our species distribution map is important in quantifying the existing habitats for four carnivore species.

Contributions

G.A.E. Cuyckens performed model running, data analysis and results interpretation.

P.G. Perovic gathered presence points and interpreted results.

L. Cristobal performed LandSat analysis and developed wetland rasters.

Acknowledgments

References

Anderson, R.P., Gómez-Laverde, M., Peterson, A.T., 2002a. Geographical distributions of spiny pocket mice in South America: insights from predictive models. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 11, 131–141.

Anderson, R.P., Peterson, A.T., Gómez-Laverde, M., 2002b. Using niche based GIS modeling to test geographic predictions of competitive exclusion and competitive release in South American pocket mice. Oikos 98, 3–16.

Bianchi, A., Yáñez, J., 1992. Las precipitaciones en el noroeste argentino. Estación Experimental Regional Agropecuaria, INTA, Salta.

Boyle, T.P., Caziani, S.M., Waltermire, R.G., 2004. Landsat TM inventory and assessment of waterbird habitat in the southern altiplano of South America. Wetl. Ecol. Manag. 12, 563–573.

Chesson, P., Gebauer, R.E., Schwinning, S., Huntly, N., Wiegand, K., Ernest, M.K., Sher, A., Novoplansky, A., Weltzin, J., 2004. Resource pulses, species interactions, and diversity maintenance in arid and semi-arid environments. Oecologia 141. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1551-1.

Creel, S., 2001. Four factors modifying the effect of competition on carnivores

population dynamics as illustrated by African wild dogs. Conserv. Biol. 15, 271—274.

Donadio, E., Buskirk, S.W., 2006. Diet, morphology, and interspecific killing in Carnivora. Am. Nat. 167, 524—536.

Dunstone, N., Durbin, L., Wylie, I., Freer, R., Acosta Jamett, G., Mazzolli, M., Rose, S., 2002. Spatial organization, ranging behaviour and habitat use of the kodkod (Oncifelis guigna) in southern Chile. J. Zool. Lond 257, 1—11.

Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., Jarvis, A., 2005. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int. J. Climatol. 25, 1965—1978. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joc.1276.

Hoffmann, A.A., Blows, M.W., 1994. Species borders: ecological and evolutionary perspectives. Trends Ecol. Evol. 9, 223—227. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(94)90248-8.

IUCN, 2013. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. URL. http://www.iucnredlist.org/search.

Lucherini, M., Luengos Vidal, E., 2003. Intraguild competition as a potential factor affecting the conservation of two endangered cats in Argentina. Endanger. Species Update 20, 211—220.

Marino, J., Bennett, M., Cossios, D., Iriarte, A., Lucherini, M., Pliscoff, P., Sillero-Zubiri, C., Villalba, L., Walker, S., 2011. Bioclimatic constraints to Andean cat distribution: a modelling application for rare species. Divers. Distributions 17, 311—322. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00744.x.

Myers, A.A., Giller, P.S. (Eds.), 1988. Analytical Biogeography: an Integrated Approach to the Study of Animal and Plant Distributions. Chapman and Hall, London; New York.

Pacheco, L.F., Lucero, A., Villca, M., 2004. Dieta del puma (Puma concolor) en el Parque Nacional Sajama, Bolivia y su conflicto con la ganadería. Ecol. Boliv. 39, 75—83.

Perovic, P., Walker, S., Novaro, A., 2003. New records of the Endangered Andean mountain cat in northern Argentina. Oryx 37 (3), 374—377. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0030605303000644.

Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Schapire, R.E., 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecol. Model. 190, 231—259.

Valeix, M., Loveridge, A.J., Davidson, Z., Madzikanda, H., Fritz, H., Macdonald, D.W., 2009. How key habitat features influence large terrestrial carnivore movements: waterholes and African lions in a semi-arid savanna of north-western Zimbabwe. Landsc. Ecol. 25, 337—351. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10980-009-9425-x.

Walker, R.S., Novaro, A.J., Perovic, P., Palacios, R., Donadio, E., Lucherini, M., Pia, M., López, M.S., 2007. Diets of three species of Andean carnivores in high-altitude deserts of Argentina. J. Mammal. 88, 519—525.